



Provisional Agenda

GCIM – IOM Workshop on Regional Consultative Processes

14 and 15 April 2005, in Geneva

at the Centre de Conférences de Varembé (Room C)

14 April 2005

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| 08.30 – 09.00 | Registration |
| 09.00 – 09.30 | Introductory remarks

- Dr. Rolf K. Jenny, Executive Director, GCIM
- Mr. Robert G. Paiva, Director, External Relations Department,
IOM |
| 09.30 – 10.00 | IOM Slide show presentation on RCPs

Dr. Frank Laczko, Chief, Division of Research and Publications,
IOM |
| 10.00-11.0
10.00-12.0 | Evolution of RCPs

Chair: Michele Klein Solomon, Deputy Director, Migration Policy,
Research and Communications, IOM

<i>Why has there been a growth in the number of RCPs in recent
years? What are they designed to achieve? Are there any
regions of the world where RCPs have not been initiated? If not,
why not? Are there any regions where more, or more effective,
processes should be put in place?</i> |
| 11.00 – 11.30 | Coffee |

11:30 – 12:30 Geographically based RCPs: Similarities and Disparities

Chair: Dr. Rolf K. Jenny

Brief introduction by representatives of APC, MIDSA, Puebla Process, the South American Conference on Migration, and the 5 plus 5, **five minutes each**, to present the RCP they represent

How similar or dissimilar are RCPs in terms of their objectives, organization, participation, outputs, working methods, etc.? To what extent have civil society and private sector organizations been involved in these RCPs? Has the scope of issues covered and the range of ministries participating in the RCPs expanded or evolved over time? If so, why? If not, why not?

12.30 – 14.00 Lunch

14.00 – 15.30 Thematically Organized RCPs: Similarities and Disparities

Chair: Michele Klein Solomon

Brief introduction by representatives of Bali, Budapest Group, Colombo Process, and IGC, **five minutes each**, to present the RCP they represent

How similar or dissimilar are RCPs in terms of their objectives, organization, participation, outputs, working methods, etc.? To what extent have civil society and private sector organizations been involved in RCPs? Has the scope of issues covered and the range of ministries participating in the RCPs expanded or evolved over time? If so, why? If not, why not?

15.30-16.00 Coffee

16.00 – 17.30 Achievements and Implementation

Chair: Dr. Jeff Crisp/Dr. Frank Laczko

What have been the achievements and impact of regional consultative processes (RCPs)? In what areas have RCPs proved most and least successful? What are the determinants of success and failure? Have any RCPs been formally evaluated? If so, what have been the findings? If not, why not?

19.00 Dinner, co-hosted by Australia and the Global Commission on International Migration at Hotel d'Angleterre, Quai du Mont-Blanc 17

15 April 2005

09.00 – 10.30 Achievements and Implementation – continued

Chair: Dr. Jeff Crisp/Dr. Frank Laczko

10.30 – 11.00 Coffee

11.00 – 11.45 Cross-Fertilization between RCPs

Chair: Michele Klein Solomon

To what extent is there an interchange of ideas and information between different RCPs? What has been the nature and outcome of that interchange? If no or inadequate interchange exists, would more cross-regional dialogue be beneficial? If so, what purpose would it serve? How would it be organized?

11.45 – 12.30 Relationship of RCPs to Economic, Political and Security Institutions

Chair: Dr. Jeff Crisp

As migration has now been placed on the agendas of many sub-regional, regional and global economic, political and security organizations and institutions (e.g. EU, AU, MERCOSUR, APEC, OSCE, SADC, COMESA, etc.), what is the relationship of RCPs to these and the implications for RCPs of this development?

13.00 – 14.30 Lunch: IOM brief presentation and discussion of new capacity building and training tools on migration

14.30 – 16.00 What is the Future of RCPs?

Chairs: Dr. Rolf K. Jenny and Mr. Robert G. Paiva

In what direction are RCPs heading? Is there a process of convergence or divergence? Are common norms and understandings emerging from them? Can additional RCPs be anticipated? What lessons have been learned from existing RCPs and how could these be most effectively utilized, including

at the global level? What is the future of RCPs? What are their implications for the future governance of international migration?

16.00 – 17.00

Conclusions by Dr. Rolf K. Jenny and Mr. Robert G. Paiva